

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JOSEPH GIBSON,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B267497
(Super. Ct. No. BA420463)
(Los Angeles County)

Joseph Gibson appeals a judgment following his guilty plea to felony assault by means likely to produce great bodily injury (Pen. Code, § 245, subd. (a)(4)), his admission to inflicting great bodily injury (Pen. Code, § 12022.7, subd. (a)), and suffering a prior conviction for a serious or violent felony (Pen. Code, § 667, subd. (a)(1)).

Gibson entered his plea on the first day of trial. At the preliminary hearing, his victim testified that he and Gibson got into an argument at the victim's home, which escalated into a mutual exchange of pushes and punches. After a pause in the fighting, the victim asked Gibson to leave. Instead, Gibson grabbed a hot pot of soup and poured it onto the victim's face and into his left ear, resulting in serious burns and loss of hearing.

Pursuant to a plea agreement, counts of mayhem and battery with great bodily injury were dismissed and a prior strike was stricken. Gibson was sentenced to the

low term of two years, doubled, plus an additional term of five years to be served consecutively pursuant to Penal Code section 667, subdivision (a)(1), plus an additional term of three years to be served consecutively pursuant to Penal Code section 12022.7, subdivision (a), for an aggregate term of 12 years.

We appointed counsel to represent Gibson in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, she filed an opening brief raising no issues. On January 8, 2016, we advised Gibson by mail that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished to raise on appeal. We have not received a response.

We reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that Gibson's attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

TANGEMAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P. J.

PERREN, J.

Terry A. Bork, Judge
Superior Court County of Los Angeles

Michele A. Douglass, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for
Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.